25X1

25X1

25X1

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D. C. 20505

DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

17 May 1985

The Effects of Afghanistan on Indo-Pakistani Relations	The Effect	stan on Indo-Pakistani Relations	of Afghanistan on
--	------------	----------------------------------	-------------------

Summary

India and Pakistan both view the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan as a threat to regional security, although for different reasons. Islamabad worries about the potential for Soviet and Indian efforts -separate or joint--to undermine Pakistan's stability. New Delhi fears that the revival of US-Pakistani military ties and the expanded US naval presence in the Indian Ocean will increase superpower competition in a region where India aspires to unchallenged dominance. Despite an early effort by Indira Gandhi to include Pakistan in a regional response to the invasion, the issue of Afghanistan has underscored and even widened the differences that have characterized relations between India and Pakistan since both became independent in 1947.

This memorandum was prepared by of the Office of Near Eastern and South Asian Analysis. Comments and queries are welcome and may be addressed to the Chief, South Asia Division, NESA Information as of 15 May 1985 was used in the	25 X 1
preparation of this report. NESA-M-85-10011	25 X 1 25 X 1
	25 X 1
	25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/11/08 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000406370001-6	25X1
The Effects of Afghanistan on Indo-Pakistani Relations	25X1
Immediately after the 1979 Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, Indira Gandhiwho had just returned to powertried to persuade President Zia's government to adopt an Indian-sponsored "regional approach" to the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan. Indian statements around that time suggest that Indira sought to form a regional group to exert diplomatic pressure on the Soviets to confine their activities to Afghanistan. New Delhi probably also believedas many Indians still dothat if the Soviets felt secure in Afghanistan, they might be inclined eventually to withdraw their troops. We do not believe that New	25X1
New Delhi probably also hoped a regional approach would head off renewed Pakistani military involvement with the United States and the potential threat of a superpower confrontation in South Asia. senior Indian officials believed the extent of the threat posed to India and the region by the Soviet invasion would depend on whether Pakistan became a "buffer state" or whether it became a "confrontation state" by accepting major military help from outside powers, allowing foreign bases on its soil, or engaging in "provocative actions" toward the Soviets. In the Indian view, even with outside help, Pakistan would be incapable of confronting the Soviet Union militarily—an argument New Delhi continues to advance in urging Washington to limit arms supplies to Pakistan.	25X´ 25X´ 25X´ 25X´
Islamabad's reaction negated Indian President Reddy's plan to announce the "regional response" proposal in Parliament in January 1980. Pakistani officials characterized India's plan to US diplomats as "hegemonistic," and instead accepted US offers of arms to counter the Soviet threat from Afghanistan. We do not know precisely how or when Islamabad advised the Indians of its unwillingness to go along with Indira's suggestion, but New Delhi's public reaction was strongly negative. In India's view, the arms Islamabad has secured from the United States since the invasion make it more likely that Pakistan's "irrational" leaders will again wage war on India, despite New Delhi's military advantage.	25X1
In response to Indian arguments that Pakistan's acquisitions of US arms threatened India, Zia in 1981 proposed a nonaggression pact with New Delhi. We believe the proposal was designed in part to reassure Washington of Pakistan's goodwill toward India and to improve relations with India as a lever against the Soviets.	، 25X1
Despite skepticism about Pakistan's motives, Indira Gandhi agreed to high-level bilateral talks on the nonaggression pact in late 1982. The meetings eased tensions, but also resulted in a deadlock that has yet to be resolved. In their counterproposal, the Indians insisted on conditions that Pakistan saw as impinging on Islamabad's sovereignty; Pakistan would have foregone the right to take disputes to the United Nations and committed itself never to allow foreign bases on its territory.	25 X 1
2	25X1

For its part, Islamabad still fears that India's desire for regional preeminence threatens Pakistan's survival. From Pakistan's point of view, the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, coupled with Moscow's close ties with New Delhi, raises the threat of Indo-Soviet collaboration to weaken Pakistan-a development we consider unlikely because of divergent Indian and Soviet equities in South Asia. Some Pakistanis are aware that New Delhi wants Pakistan to remain intact as a buffer against Soviet-occupied Afghanistan, but many still worry that Moscow will somehow manipulate India to attack Pakistan. Pakistanis also are concerned that India might take advantage of tension along the Pakistani-Afghan border to intimidate Pakistan or that India might launch a preemptive strike against Pakistan's nuclear facilities.

25X1 25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/11/08 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000406370001-6
SUBJECT: The Effects of Afghanistan on Indo-Pakistani Relations
Internal Distribution: 1 - DDI 1 - NIO/NESA 1 - NIO/EA 1 - C/PES 1 - D/NESA 1 DD/NESA 1 DD/NESA 1 - C/SOVA/RIG 1 - C/PPS 1 - D/OGI 1 - C/ISID 1 - C/SO/D/NESA 1 - C/SO/S/NESA 1 - DOO(AEA 1 - DOO(NEA 1 - DOO(NEA 1 - DDO/NEA 1 - DDO/NEA 1 - DDO/NEA 1 - DDO/NEA 1 - DBS Staff 4 - CPAS/IMD/CB 2 - NESA/PS 2 - NESA/SO/S Branch DDI/NESA/SO/S

1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: The Effects of Afghanistan on Indo-Pakistani Relations

25X1

External Distribution:

Peter Burleigh, Bureau of Intelligence and Research, South Asia Division, Department of State, Room 4636A, Washington, DC 20520

Major General Kenneth D. Burns, USAF, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs, Room 4D765, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Captain Edward Louis Christensen, USN, Chief, South Asian Regional Plans and Policy Branch, Department of Defense, Room 2E973, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Mr. James P. Covey Special Assistant to the President and Senior Director, Near East and South Asia Affairs, National Security Council Room 351, Old Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20500

Donald Gregg, Assistant to the Vice President for National Security Affairs, Room 381, Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20520

Mr. Philip S. Kaplan, Staff Director for Policy Planning Council Department of State, Room 7316, Washington, DC 20520

Colonel Kenneth E. McKim, Director, Office of the Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs, Near East and South Asia Region, Department of Defense, Room 4D765, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Christopher Ross, Director, Regional Affairs, Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs, Department of State, Room 5254A, Washington, DC 20520

Dr. John D. Stempel, Special Assistant, Near East South Asia, Department of State, Room 5246A, Washington, DC 20520

Peter Tomsen, Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs, Director, INS, Department of State, Room 5251, Washington, DC 20520

Darnell Whitt, Intelligence Adviser to the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, Room 4D840, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Dr. Dov S. Zakheim Deputy Assistant Under Secretary of Defense for Policy/Resources Room 3D777, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

Mr. Ronald P. Zwart Special Assistant for South Asia, International Security Affairs, Near Eastern and South Asian Affairs, Department of Defense, Room 4D765, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301

	5	